

96-98

**From:** Alan Gold  
**To:** Alan Gold  
**Date:** Sat, Feb 15, 2003 10:07 AM  
**Subject:** Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Graham

Senator Nelson

Representative Hastings

Message text follows:

Alan Gold  
6443 SW 108 Place  
Ocala, FL 33476-8803

February 15, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely

Alan Gold

**From:** Jerry Taylor  
**To:** Jerry Taylor  
**Date:** Sat, Feb 15.2003 12:37 PM  
**Subject:** Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Representative Moore

Message text follows:

Jerry Taylor  
11624 W. 109th St.  
O. P., KS 66210-1238

February 15, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here]

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. **As** a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service

Sincerely,

Jerry Taylor

**From:** Angus Dougherty  
**To:** Mike Powell, KM KJMWEB  
**Date:** Sat, Feb 15, 2003 3:31 PM  
**Subject:** Line Sharing

Distinguished Commissioner Martin.

A recent article in America's Network (by Shira Levine) stated that in your opinion, you would support relieving the incumbent ILEC's of their line sharing obligation in residential markets where the ILEC has a "non-dominant" high speed Internet access" position and where cable operators do have high speed service.

I must go on record stating that this is a very dangerous position, especially when line sharing can be accomplished in the distribution network serving these markets without using the ILEC's transmission and switching system. My company, AirCover Network Solutions is a Colorado company implementing such line sharing with the ILEC. We are a facilities based Internet access provider delivering very high speed, cost effective, Internet access to residential and small businesses in the distribution network. We do not depend on UNE-P network elements under debate and we are not dependent on the high cost of collocation or leased facilities from the ILEC. We access the residents and business owners service wire, under their authorization, at the Service Access Interface, (Pedestal) found in the community wire center, before the service wires enter into the ILEC's transmission system (electronics). We have interconnection agreements with the ILEC's which provide us such distribution loop line-sharing. This mode of line sharing enables us to provide very cost effective high speed Internet access to our customer's while maintaining lifeline voice service with their ILEC of choice. We place our own equipment in the public right of ways and filter the high band frequencies from the customer's service wires enabling us to apply whatever high frequency technology, ADSL, ADSL+2, VDSL, (and more elaborate broadband technologies) on the customer's telephone lines and deliver symmetrical very high-speed internet access directly to the Internet using our owned and operated high capacity microwave facilities, WE ARE A COMPETITIVE FACILITIES BASED CARRIER! Because our customers authorize us to share their service wires, outside the ILEC's network, any decision to restrict line sharing at this level would be considered a violation of the Carterphone Act and 1984 Modified Judgment.

Please be aware that under Federal and State Land Development Acts the local and state governments are given authority to manage real-estate resources. As a general rule over the last 100 years AT&T and the ILEC's have been charging land developers, on a forecasted line bases, premium rates to connect their transport and sub-loop systems to the distribution networks making up community wire centers. We as retail consumers of these homes and office buildings have paid dearly for these connections when we purchase a property. Even worse we continue to pay for this service access with high service and maintenance fees. And for our subsidy, the ILEC's get the benefit of continually declaring depreciation on our Service wires as part of their "facility improvements" across their entire network, whether it is of benefit to you and I or not!

I feel that developer subsidies paid to the ILEC and corresponding transfer of cost to the purchaser of a property places the title of the first "quarter mile" of the service wire (local loop), pre ILEC transmission system, into the jurisdiction of the consumer! Ultimately giving the

consumer the choice of determining which service provider can place service and equipment on their lines! This is NOT a Telecom Act of 96 decision point to be determined by the FCC. Unless you are proposing changing Congress's 1968 CarterPhone Decision and 1984 Modified Final Judgment as well as the ongoing telecom development practice coveted by the Land Development Act.

While I agree with your position limiting the ILEC's liability to unbundle their electronics and their having to wholesale their systems at below "un-documented" cost of delivery I cannot agree with your a general opinion on not supporting the 96 Telecom Act's position on line sharing. Let's **set** the demark where competitive facilities based carriers can enter the market and provide the consumer with truly competitive and future proof services that do not further place the public telecommunications system into debit. The commission needs to seriously consider Structurally Separating the customer's service wire from the ILEC.

I would be very happy to discuss with you my position on this matter and share more details of our company's service deployment. I am addressing you as a concerned citizen, a voter, phone bill payer and small business owner wanting to make sure that the competitive telecom choices given to the consumer by our forefathers are not inadvertently taken away.

Respectfully,  
Angus O Dougherty  
President/CEO  
AirCover Network Solutions, Inc

cc Greg Sopkin  
Chairman  
Colorado Public Utility Commission

**CC:** [governorowens@state.co.us](mailto:governorowens@state.co.us), Michael Copps. Commissioner Adelstein. Kathleen Abernathy, [slevine@americasnetwork.com](mailto:slevine@americasnetwork.com)

**Angus O Dougherty**  
**President/Co-Founder**  
**AirCover Network Solutions Inc.**

1700 W 100th  
Suite 104  
Thornton, CO. 80260-8004  
United States of America

(303) 439-9535 ( Work Voice )  
(303) 404-0050 ( Home Voice )  
(303) 591-8074 ( Voice Cell )  
(303) 404-0049 ( Work Fax )

angus@aircovr.com ( Preferred Internet )

<http://www.aircovr.com>

**Version**

2.1

**Name**

Family: Dougherty  
First Angus  
Middle O  
Prefix  
Suffix

**Formatted Name**

Angus O Dougherty

**Organization**

AirCover Network Solutions Inc.

**Title**

President/Co-Founder

**Telephone Number** ( Work Voice )  
(303) 439-9535

**Telephone Number** ( Home Voice )  
(303) 404-0050

**Telephone Number** ( Voice Cell )  
(303) 591-8074

**Telephone Number** ( Work Fax )  
(303) 404-0049

**Address** (Work )  
P O Address  
Extended Address  
Street 1700 W 100th  
Suite 104  
Locality Thornton  
Region CO.  
Postal Code 80260-8004  
Country United States of America

**Delivery Label** (Work )  
1700 W 100th  
Suite 104  
Thornton, CO 80260-8004  
United States of America

**Uniform Resource Locator**  
[http //www aircovr com](http://www.aircovr.com)

**Electronic Mail Address** ( Preferred Internet )  
[angus@aircovr com](mailto:angus@aircovr.com)

**Last Revision**  
20021209T202838Z

**From:** Anne Peterson  
**To:** Anne Peterson  
**Date:** Sat, Feb 15, 2003 2:04 PM  
**Subject:** Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Kennedy

Senator Kerry

Representative Meehan

Message text follows:

Anne Peterson  
16 Morton Street 3rd floor Rear  
Andover, MA01810

February 15, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service

Sincerely,

Anne M Peterson

**From:** Bruno Jeziorski  
**To:** Bruno Jeziorski  
**Date:** Sat, Feb 15, 2003 3:45 PM  
**Subject:** Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Durbin

Message text follows:

Bruno Jeziorski  
18W046 73RD ST  
Westmont. IL 60561

February 15, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here]

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support Competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Bruno Jeziorski



**From:** Bruno Jeziorski  
**To:** Bruno Jeziorski  
**Date:** Sat, Feb 15, 2003 3:49 PM  
**Subject:** Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Fitzgerald

Message text follows:

Bruno Jeziorski  
18W046 73RD ST  
Westmont. IL 60561

February 15, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies arent required to allow competitors access to the market. Im also concerned about the Commissions move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent. I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Bruno Jeziorski

**From:** Bruno Jeziorski  
**To:** Bruno Jeziorski  
**Date:** Sat, Feb 15, 2003 3:58 PM  
**Subject:** Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:  
Representative Biggert  
Message **text** follows:

Bruno Jeziorski  
18W046 73RD ST  
Westmont. IL 60561

February 15, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here]

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Bruno Jeziorski

**From:** C. Michael Faulkner  
**To:** C. Michael Faulkner  
**Date:** Sat, Feb 15, 2003 9:55 AM  
**Subject:** Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients.

Senator Shelby

Senator Sessions

Representative Cramer

Message text follows:

C. Michael Faulkner  
491 Meadow Grove Lane  
Florence. AL 35633-6333

February 15, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

C. Michael Faulkner

**From:** Caryn Forster  
**To:** Caryn Forster  
**Date:** Sat, Feb 15, 2003 6:46 PM  
**Subject:** Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Durbin  
Senator Fitzgerald  
Representative Hyde  
Message text follows.

Caryn Forster  
34 Avon Rd  
Elk Grove, IL 60007-3602

February 15, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Caryn Forster

**From:** Don Valdez  
**To:** Don Valdez  
**Date:** Sat, Feb 15, 2003 12:02 PM  
**Subject:** Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Feinstein

Senator Boxer

Representative Baca

Message text follows:

Don Valdez  
620 W. C Street  
Colton, CA 92324-2142

February 15, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here]

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely, Mr. Don Valdez Sr

Don Valdez Sr.

**From:** David Larsen  
**To:** David Larsen  
**Date:** Sat, Feb 15, 2003 1:36 AM  
**Subject:** Proposed FCC Changes **Cost** Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Thomas  
Senator Enzi  
Representative Cubin  
Message text follows:

David Larsen  
40 North Lobban  
Buffalo, WY 82834

February 15, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

David R. Larsen

**From:** Elaine Allen  
**To:** Elaine Allen  
**Date:** Sat, Feb 15, 2003 5:44 PM  
**Subject:** Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Murray

Senator Cantwell

Representative McDermott

Message text follows:

Elaine Allen  
133 25th Ave E  
Seattle, WA 98112

February 15, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Elaine Allen

**From:** Frank Diamanti  
**To:** Frank Diamanti  
**Date:** Sat, Feb 15, 2003 2:04 PM  
**Subject:** Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Feinstein

Senator Boxer

Representative Eshoo

Message text follows:

Frank Diamanti  
2567 Katrina Way  
Mountain View, CA 94040

February 15, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Frank Diamanti



**From:** Gary Chavez  
**To:** Gary Chavez  
**Date:** Sat, Feb 15, 2003 3:39 PM  
**Subject:** Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Feinstein

Senator Boxer

Representative Thomas

Message text follows:

Gary Chavez  
1014 West Avenue H6  
Lancaster, CA 93534-1429

February 15, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Gary J Chavez

**From:** Gary Jones  
**To:** Gary Jones  
**Date:** Sat, Feb 15, 2003 9:06 PM  
**Subject:** Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Bond

Senator Talent

Representative Gephardt

Message text follows:

Gary Jones  
P.O. Box 437  
House Springs, MO 63051

February 15, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service

Sincerely,

Gary Jones

**From:** Jeanne Boger  
**To:** Jeanne Boger  
**Date:** Sat, Feb 15, 2003 3:22 PM  
**Subject:** Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Wyden

Senator Smith

Representative Blumenauer

Message text follows:

Jeanne Boger  
2523 S.E. 71st Avenue  
Portland, OR 97206-1120

February 15, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here]

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Jeanne Boger

**From:** Jeanne Boger  
**To:** Jeanne Boger  
**Date:** Sat, Feb 15, 2003 3:24 PM  
**Subject:** Proposed FCC Changes Cost Consumers

Message sent to the following recipients:

Senator Wyden

Senator Smith

Representative Blurnenauer

Message text follows:

Jeanne Boger  
2523 S.E. 71st Avenue  
Portland, OR 97206-1120

February 15, 2003

[recipient address was inserted here]

[recipient name was inserted here],

The Federal Communications Commission is considering taking actions that will restrict consumer choice by deregulating local phone service.

Millions of Americans like me could have their phone service threatened if the local phone companies aren't required to allow competitors access to the market. I'm also concerned about the Commission's move to relieve all broadband Internet access facilities of open access obligations.

Both of these key decisions will limit my choices as a consumer by lessening competition, diminishing cost savings and threatening consumer protections. As a constituent, I urge you to support competition and open access for local phone service.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jeanne Boger